

Abstract Online MSC-MERA Workshop – 21, 22, 27 April 2021

Introduction: Data-limited fisheries and Management Strategy Evaluation

In managing fisheries, it is important to test whether harvest control rules are going to work as intended. This is especially true for fisheries that are "data-limited" (i.e., fisheries without direct measures of population biomass), because in these cases managers rely on less information to make decisions. Management Strategy Evaluation (MSE) allows simulation-testing of the performance of data-limited control rules - or Management Procedures - for which we cannot derive full stock assessments. However, MSE can be very complex, and can be set up, run, and documented in many ways by different users. This can make it difficult and time-consuming to communicate to other researchers, managers, or interested stakeholders. These challenges limit widespread use of MSE to support decisions, especially in data-limited fisheries where testing is most needed. The Marine Stewardship Council (MSC) recognises this as a key challenge for evaluating sustainability of a large set of fisheries around the world. For this reason, MSC and the 'Data-Limited Methods tool' team led by Dr Tom Carruthers joined forces to develop MERA. This is a rapid MSE tool, specifically designed for testing data-limited Management Procedures with a user-friendly interface, building on the existing R packages DLMtool and MSEtool (see Carruthers & Hordyk, 2018). MSC is interested in evaluating performance of fisheries against the Fisheries Standard requirements for Principle 1 (Stock Status, Harvest Control Rules and Harvest Strategies) for data-limited fisheries.

MERA links

- MERA general website: <u>https://www.merafish.org/</u>
- MERA tool version 1.0.0: <u>http://142.103.48.20:3838/MERA/</u>

For more background / in-depth information:

- DLMtool paper (Carruthers & Hordyk, 2018)
- MERA User Guide v1.0

MERA tool functionalities

MERA is an open access, rapid analysis tool for MSE designed to evaluate and document performance of management strategies in data-limited fisheries via **simulation testing**. The user-friendly interface is designed to support fisheries or management organisations for planning purposes within sustainability improvement projects or for meeting MSC requirements (on Stock Status, Control Rules, Harvest Strategy). The user-testing phase is currently ongoing, and this workshop is an opportunity to gain your feedback.

The MERA tool:

a) rapidly evaluates performance of a broad range of Management Procedures producing some default diagnostics, which can be useful when exploring for the first time a fishery that is not yet well studied;

- b) can be parameterised through a user-friendly questionnaire, allowing to easily gather input from different experts and sources, and document justifications systematically;
- c) explicitly documents inputs and operating model settings through standardised reports;
- can be used to progress along a gradient from extremely data-poor to data-moderate, including options to input data for model conditioning and use of auxiliary indicators to test for exceptional circumstances (see <u>Hordyk & Carruthers, 2018</u>);
- e) The default diagnostics (i.e., the 'MSC' version) help prioritise data collection and identify the most important sources of model uncertainty in order to meet the targets set out by the MSC Fishery Standard as best practice (i.e., population biomass hovering around Bmsy, control rules are part of a responsive harvest strategy that can effectively recover the stock if it happens to fall below 50% Bmsy).

| Name | Organisation |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Thomas Carruthers | Bluematterscience |
| Adrian Hordyk | Bluematterscience |
| Carlos Alvarez | Pronatura Noroeste |
| Juan Carlos Castro | Pronatura Noroeste |
| Pablo Alvarez | Pronatura Noroeste |
| M. en C. Carlos Torrescano | Pronatura Noroeste |
| Castro | |
| M. en C. Alberto Rodríguez | Pronatura Noroeste |
| Madrigal | |
| Alfonso Medellín Ortiz | Pronatura Noroeste |
| Ollin Gonzalez Cuellar | Niparaja |
| Salvador Rodriguez | Niparaja |
| Francisco Vergara | MSC |
| Katie Longo | MSC |
| Julia Stuijfzand | MSC |
| Ana Parma | CONICET, TNC |
| Dra. Viridiana Zepeda Benítez | INAPESCA |
| Dr. Juan Gabriel Díaz-Uribe | INAPESCA |
| M. en C. Marcela Zúñiga | INAPESCA |
| M.en C. Martha Edith Zárate | INAPESCA |
| Becerra | |
| M. en C. José Alberto | INAPESCA |
| Rodríguez Preciado | |
| M. en C. Concepción Enciso | INAPESCA |
| Enciso | |

WORKSHOP ATTENDEE LIST

SCHEDULE DAY 1

| | Day 1 | Homework after Day 1 |
|--------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 15 min | Group Introductions | Check any uncertain questions flagged during the walkthrough of the question pairs |
| 30 min | Intro to MERA concepts | If there is interest, participants can explore the questionnaire that was developed and try out various planning mode runs, recording any questions they have about the results etc. |
| 55 min | MERA questionnaire for walk-through | |
| 5 min | Leg stretch break | |
| 45 min | MERA questionnaire ct'd | |
| 25 min | Planning mode run | |
| 5 min | Homework description | |

SCHEDULE DAY 2

| | Day 2 | Homework after Day 2 |
|--------|--|---|
| 15 min | Brief recap and run down on any | Participants can build MERA |
| | (following homework) | studies and summarize any findings |
| 15min | Participants' presentation on the IbSPR workshop outcomes | Tom to work with Participants to further develop input data for conditioning and / or design custom MPs for those case studies |
| 55 min | An introduction to management procedures (MPs) and a | |
| | feasible MPs for the principal case study. | |
| 5 min | Leg stretch break | |
| 30 min | Introduction to planning mode and | |
| 20 min | Conditioning MEDA operating | |
| 30 min | models on data | |
| 30 min | Status Determination mode | |
| 5 min | Homework description | |

Expectation (from participants) – At this point, we would like to have worked to the extent that we have some close to final alternative results regarding stock status and performance of alternative procedures for the red snapper at least. Further issues or refinements for the red snapper and working with the other stocks can be done in the homework and discussed at the third session.

SCHEDULE DAY 3

| | Day 3 | | |
|--------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| 50 min | Describe any updated findings for | | |
| | the principal case study including | | |
| | those relating to revised data, or | | |
| | custom MPs | | |
| 45 min | Present findings for other case | | |
| | studies where applicable | | |
| 40 min | Contingency time / any other | | |
| | business | | |
| 30 min | Wrap-up | | |