



Summary of Actions – P1

Year 1 – 6 Month Review

| Assess the success of the yellowfin interim rebuilding plan (IOTC 2016) | |
|--|--|
| <p><i>Activity 1.1</i> - Based on year 1 analyses it may be necessary to initiate actions that will support improvement of the rebuilding plan dependant on new stock assessments</p> <p><i>Activity 1.2</i> - Consistently correspond with the IOTC to shorten the timeframe adopted for the rebuilding of yellowfin</p> <p><i>Activity 1.3</i> - Collaborate with relevant fisheries in the area</p> <p><i>Activity 1.4</i> – Analyse and review the current timeframe</p> <p><i>Activity 1.5</i> – Consult on YF IOTC 1.1.1 and 1.1.2 scores to assess likelihood of meeting MSC SG 100</p> | <p><i>Year 1 Milestone</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consult on YF IOTC 1.1.1 and 1.1.2 scores to assess likelihood of meeting MSC SG 100 Analyse and review the current timeframe Collaborate with relevant fisheries in the area Consistently correspond with the IOTC to shorten the timeframe adopted for the rebuilding of yellowfin, if necessary. |
| <p>Update as of June 2019</p> | <p>An external consultant is carrying out an assessment of the yellowfin interim rebuilding plan (IOTC 2016) and detailing if they feel it is sufficient and if not, how the IOTC and the FIP should proceed to make sure it is.</p> <p>This was completed in June 2019 and stated that the current CMM has been ineffective. Instead of reducing catches and mortality of yellowfin, catches and juvenile mortality have increased since adoption of the original interim rebuilding plan. In addition, the updated 2018 assessment has more uncertainty associated with it and the SC could not provide sound scientific advice (i.e. catch limits) to the Commission.</p> <p>Proposed FIP actions to be decided by the group include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Support and make sure the yellowfin tuna workplan is followed by the SC Make sure vessels/partners in the FIP report all data in a timely fashion to IOTC and are involved in the assessment process. The previous lag in catch reporting has impacted IOTC's ability to assess the compliance status of each CPC and therefore introducing corrective measures in a timely fashion. Catch limits need to be re-addressed to account for fisheries not included in the original catch limits (i.e. Iran) that have increased their catches substantially since the original rebuilding plan was adopted (EU proposal best addresses this) Identify key countries wanting change: South Africa, Maldives, EU, Korea have proposals to commission this year. Support the adoption of a new interim rebuilding plan that addresses these key issues (increased catches, compliance, FAD management) at the 23rd Session of the IOTC Commission. |



Summary of Actions – P1

Year 1 - 6 Month Review

| Advocate for HCRs for tunas in the Indian Ocean | |
|--|---|
| Activity 1.1 - Adopt strategy and implement for ongoing advocacy to Malaysian and Taiwanese delegation for supporting HCRs | <p><i>Year 1 Milestone</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Advocate and work with IOTC to implement HCRs • Develop strategy for collaborating with other interested parties for adopting HCRs. • Collaborate on and support ISSF advocacy letters, meetings and other activities. • Attend (Kha Yang) IOTC meeting and support HCRs • Review output of IOTC MSE process when it becomes available, as the basis for developing a detailed advocacy position for precautionary HCRs for each stock |
| Activity 1.2 - Review situation on HCRs | |
| Activity 1.3 - Attend (Kha Yang) IOTC meeting and support HCRs | |
| Activity 1.4 - Collaborate on and support ISSF advocacy letters, meetings and other activities. | |
| Activity 1.5 - Develop strategy for collaborating with other interested parties for adopting HCRs | |
| Activity 1.6 - Advocate and work with IOTC to implement HCRs | |
| Update as of June 2019 | <p>We have joined ISSF mailing lists and sign on to letters regularly to advocate for HCRs. We agree with IOTC position statements and use this for our advocacy.</p> <p>We are currently building relationships with flag states to push this action further.</p> |



Summary of Actions – P1

Year 1 - 6 Month Review

| Engage with relevant organisations to align P1 scores | |
|--|---|
| Activity 1.1 - Conduct a review of other relevant organisations and engage with them on scoring. | <p><i>Year 1 Milestone</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engage with relevant organisations such as ISSF, MSC and keep track of alignment activities When IO tuna fisheries are scored, relate them to the FIP scores to ensure they are taken in to account |
| Activity 1.2 - When IO tuna fisheries are scored, relate them to the FIP scores to ensure they are taken in to account | |
| Activity 1.3 - Engage with relevant organisations such as ISSF, MSC and keep track of alignment activities | |
| Update as of June 2019 | <p>We have joined ISSF mailing lists and sign on to letters regularly to advocate for HCRs. We agree with IOTC position statements and use this for our advocacy.</p> <p>We regularly keep track of FIPs and certified fisheries within a similar scope.</p> <p>We are currently building relationships with flag states to push this action further.</p> |



Summary of Actions – P2

Year 1 - 6 Month Review

| Refine data collection of unwanted/limited primary species and ETP species | |
|---|---|
| Activity 1.1 - Monitoring and reporting procedures of unwanted catch | <p><i>Year 1 Milestone</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Review the amended form to quantify impact ● Revise the catch data collection form and implement ● Advocate for the introduction of marine mammal CMs ● Draft best practices for live release to be posted on all vessels. ● Captain training in how to reduce bycatch and identify catch ● Ban on wire traces and shark lines implemented |
| Activity 1.2 - Bycatch reduced to a negligible amount | |
| Activity 1.3 - Increase observer coverage | |
| Activity 1.4 - Ban on wire traces and shark lines implemented | |
| Activity 1.5 - Captain training in how to reduce bycatch and identify catch | |
| Activity 1.6 - Draft best practices for live release to be posted on all vessels. | |
| Activity 1.7 - Advocate for the introduction of marine mammal CMs | |
| Activity 1.8 - Revise the catch data collection form and implement | |
| Activity 1.9 - Review the amended form to quantify impact | |
| Update as of June 2019 | <p>An ETP incidence form has been created and translated to be used on the vessel, proper use of this is taught alongside skipper training.</p> <p>Best practices have been drafted and collated and are to be posted on board vessels.</p> <p>Public shark finning policy has been created that includes a ban of wire leaders.</p> <p>EM and form will allow us to refine the data collection.</p> |



Summary of Actions – P2

Year 1 - 6 Month Review

| Implement techniques to reduce catch of unwanted/limited primary species and ETP species | |
|--|---|
| Activity 1.1 - Monitoring and reporting procedures of unwanted catch | <p><i>Year 1 Milestone</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Review the amended form to quantify impact ● Revise the catch data collection form and implement ● Advocate for the introduction of marine mammal CMs ● Draft best practices for live release to be posted on all vessels. ● Captain training in how to reduce bycatch and identify catch ● Ban on wire traces and shark lines implemented |
| Activity 1.2 - Bycatch reduced to a negligible amount | |
| Activity 1.3 - Increase observer coverage | |
| Activity 1.4 - Ban on wire traces and shark lines implemented | |
| Activity 1.5 - Captain training in how to reduce bycatch and identify catch | |
| Activity 1.6 - Draft best practices for live release to be posted on all vessels. | |
| Activity 1.7 - Advocate for the introduction of marine mammal CMs | |
| Activity 1.8 - Revise the catch data collection form and implement | |
| Activity 1.9 - Review the amended form to quantify impact | |
| Update as of June 2019 | <p>An ETP incidence form has been created and translated to be used on the vessel, proper use of this is taught alongside skipper training.</p> <p>Best practices have been drafted and collated and are to be posted on board vessels.</p> <p>Public shark finning policy has been created that includes a ban of wire leaders.</p> |



Summary of Actions – P2

Year 1 - 6 Month Review

| Strengthening of shark finning mitigation | |
|--|---|
| Activity 1.1 - Mauritius longline regulations either confirmed or amended to implement IOTC shark finning measures | <p><i>Year 1 Milestone</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Observer data are queried for the vessels that are part of the FIP, and analysed to document any identified retention of shark fins when the carcass was discarded ● Fishing company to adopt a public policy banning their vessels from retaining shark fins without retaining the entire carcass using SFP and ISSF guidance ● Guidance post on all vessels for captains to sign ● Fisher shark awareness programme implemented |
| Activity 1.2 - Fisher shark awareness programme implemented | |
| Activity 1.3 - Guidance post on all vessels for captains to sign | |
| Activity 1.3 - Fishing company to adopt a public policy banning their vessels from retaining shark fins without retaining the entire carcass using SFP and ISSF guidance | |
| Update as of June 2019 | <p>A public shark finning policy has been created with the fishery and is being posted on all vessels signed and dated by the skippers.</p> <p>Observer data is being collected from the IOTC and EM.</p> |



Summary of Actions – P2

Year 1 - 6 Month Review

| Implement E-Reporting onto all vessels | |
|---|--|
| <i>Activity 1.1</i> - Application to all vessels | <i>Year 1 Milestone</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Go out to formal tender for e-reporting. Fishing company to let Key Traceability know what they would like in a system. Apply onto a pilot of vessels to test Link to the Thai Union Traceability Initiative and SIOTI or OPAGAC FIP |
| <i>Activity 1.2</i> - Evaluate traceability needs linked to e-reporting and catch locations | |
| <i>Activity 1.3</i> - Demonstrable progress on implementing and facilitating e-reporting | |
| <i>Activity 1.4</i> - Link to the Thai Union Traceability Initiative | |
| <i>Activity 1.5</i> - Apply onto a pilot of vessels to test | |
| <i>Activity 1.6</i> - Go out to formal tender for e-reporting. Fishing company to let Key Traceability know what they would like in a system. | |
| <i>Update as of June 2019</i> | No update |



Summary of Actions – P2

Year 1 - 6 Month Review

| Implement E-Monitoring onto all vessels | |
|---|--|
| Activity 1.1 - Application to all FIP vessels | <p><i>Year 1 Milestone</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Go out to formal tender for e-monitoring. • Fishing company to let Key Traceability know what they would like in a system. • Communicate with regional authority about their ability to process e-monitoring data • Demonstrable progress on implementing and facilitating e-monitoring |
| Activity 1.2 - Data and compliance strengthened through e-monitoring | |
| Activity 1.3 - Analyse pilot results | |
| Activity 1.4 - Introduce pilot scheme with EM on one vessel to test system and specifications | |
| Activity 1.5 - Demonstrable progress on implementing and facilitating e-monitoring | |
| Activity 1.6 - Communicate with regional authority about their ability to process e-monitoring data | |
| Activity 1.7 - Fishing company to let Key Traceability know what they would like in a system | |
| Activity 1.8 - Go out to formal tender for e-monitoring | |
| Update as of June 2019 | <p>For this FIP, we went out to tender on the implementation of EM on all vessels within this FIP. Vessels are being installed over the next year, with currently 20 vessels already having EM installed.</p> <p>Data analysis has been planned but we need to engage with regional authorities in the next 6 months.</p> |



Summary of Actions – P2

Year 1 - 6 Month Review

| Develop Harbour Strategies to improve surveillance at landing and transhipment sites (e.g. random & targeted checks) to increase observer coverage to 20% | |
|--|---|
| <i>Activity 1.1</i> - Requirement for observer coverage on vessels meets best practice | <i>Year 1 Milestone</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engage with the Observer Programme and invite to stakeholder meeting Confirm evidence that the IOTC requirement for 100% observer coverage of transhipments to receiving vessels |
| <i>Activity 1.2</i> - Increase requirement for observer coverage on vessels | |
| <i>Activity 1.3</i> - Confirm evidence that the WCPFC requirement for 100% observer coverage of transhipments to receiving vessels | |
| <i>Activity 1.4</i> - Engage with the Observer Programme and invite to stakeholder meeting | |
| <i>Update as of June 2019</i> | No update |



Summary of Actions – P2

Year 1 - 6 Month Review

| Captain training | |
|---|--|
| <i>Activity 1.1</i> - Run refresher training | <i>Year 1 Milestone</i> ● Design and prepare for training courses with the help of ISSF |
| <i>Activity 1.2</i> - Testing of knowledge with EM | |
| <i>Activity 1.3</i> - Training to improve species ID, handling guides etc. | |
| <i>Activity 1.4</i> – Run captain training to spread understanding of the benefits of the FIP | |
| <i>Activity 1.4</i> - Design and prepare for training courses with the help of ISSF | |
| <i>Update as of June 2019</i> | Skipper training has been created and translated into relevant languages and focuses on benefits of the FIP, species ID and bycatch and handling best practices. Currently we have trained nine skippers and the remaining 35 are planned for the coming year when the vessels come in. This will be tested using EM. |



Summary of Actions – P3

Year 1 - 6 Month Review

| Strengthen the application of control measures and sanctions. | |
|--|--|
| <i>Activity 1.1</i> - Continually evaluate the risks of longline non-compliance | <i>Year 1 Milestone</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Undertake industry awareness programmes to ensure compliance |
| <i>Activity 1.2</i> - Undertake industry awareness programmes to ensure compliance | |
| <i>Update as of June 2019</i> | No update |



Summary of Actions – P3

Year 1 - 6 Month Review

| Engage with the Malaysian and Taiwanese Government to improve catch data | |
|--|---|
| <i>Activity 1.1</i> - Increase observer coverage | <i>Year 1 Milestone</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engage with the Malaysian and Taiwanese Government to fill any data gaps found within the preassessment Increase observer coverage |
| <i>Activity 1.2</i> - See a marked improvement in catch data | |
| <i>Activity 1.3</i> - Regular engagement with the Malaysian and Taiwanese Government | |
| <i>Activity 1.4</i> - Ensure current state of data and what needs to be done going forward | |
| <i>Activity 1.5</i> - Engage with the Malaysian and Taiwanese Government | Currently, both flag states are involved in the project and we have formally put in a request to obtain the Taiwanese IOTC data, as it is not public. |
| Update as of June 2019 | |