



Update on Principle 2: Catch composition analysis.

Determining primary and secondary species in
accordance to MSC

November 2021

Table of Contents

Context:.....	3
Objective of the report	3
Methodology	4
Analysis and results	4
Rationale and considerations for species categorization.....	5
Discussion and conclusions	5
References.....	8
Annex.....	8

Context:

The 2017 MSC Pre-Assessment (PA) for the Artisanal Suripera Blue Shrimp Fishery of Sinaloa, was developed by MRAG and used to prepare and launched the Fishery Improvement project (in fisheryprogress.org FIP profile). The assessment team used limited available information, such as the bycatch report developed by Balmori and Morales (2012) for the artisanal shrimp fleets operating in coastal bays of Sinaloa, were 15 species were identified as part of the Suripera (cast net) and no primary species or species of particular concern were reported.

The PA authors concluded that despite the fact that the target species in the coastal lagoons and estuaries of Sinaloa is the blue shrimp, the other two shrimp species might be harvested in lower proportions, and included these two (brown shrimp and white shrimp) as main primary species too. The decision was mostly driven by the statement that catch composition varies every season, but based on biological surveys available (which were developed only during the off-season) catch composition showed greater proportions with up to 36.5% of white shrimp one season (2016)(which was an unprecedented case). Following the inclusion of both species as primary species the assessment also evaluated the status of their stock. To achieve this, the authors used the 2016 INAPESCA reports for both brown and white shrimp stocks of Sinaloa-Nayarit, which were reported as fully exploited. As a result, PI 2.1.1 scored <60 considering the status of white and brown shrimp species.

As part of the improvement project, a data log (bitacoras) system was put in place to complete the data collection that is in place by the managers (during the off season). The program aims to have a clear, constant and long term source of information related to the catch composition, but also to help other aspects of the fishery (e.g. fishing effort, interactions with ETP species, efficiency, etc). Managers already have in place a monitoring that runs during the off-season that is used to assess the status of the species in terms of sizes (tallas) to decide based on the data, when to open the fishing season¹. For this report, we used the data from Del Pacifico monitoring system to evaluate the catch composition, update the primary species and if feasible, justify a change in the appropriate PIs.

Objective of the report

- Analyze the information collected from the logbooks and update the catch composition following the MSC standard definitions.

¹ <https://www.inapesca.gob.mx/portal/documentos/publicaciones/PdfBajos/A5.Analisiscapturas.pdf>

Methodology

Based on the action 4 from the FIP. *Biological monitoring data collection to verify catch composition*, a fishing logbook was designed and has been implemented since 2019. This system was co-designed with Del Pacifico and the fishers, and adheres to the requisites of the National Fisheries Institute (INAPESCA) and National Fisheries Commission (CONAPESCA) requirements.

The objective of the logbook was to complement the information from the biological monitoring carried out by INAPESCA and also cover information gaps to improve some Performance Indicators (PI) of the MSC's sustainable fisheries standard.

The data collection system covers the following topics:

- General information (name and registration of the boat, name of the skipper, number of crew members).
- Target species information
- Non-target fauna information (bycatch species caught during fishing operations, interaction with Endangered, Threatened or Protected species ETP). Species identification was developed by using photographs using the Castro-Aguirre catalog (1978)

We used the data to have a complete characterization of the shrimp drift cast net bycatch for the 2019-2020, 2020-2021 and partially 2021-2022 fishing seasons. The seasons runs from September to March, for this reason, the 2021-2022 covers the results up to February 2022. We also compare the results to historical composition or characterization data available during one previous period, including studies developed by Amezcua et al (2006) and Balmori and Morales (2012).

Analysis and results

The information from the fishing logs covered 1,987 fishing trips and 3,820 fishing sets using the Suripera among the three seasons. From the more than 3,800 reported sets, a total of 31,935.2 kg of total catch was observed consisting of more than 32 species (Table 1). Penaeid shrimp species were the highest group caught by weight (49.3%), followed by finfish (45.3%), crustaceans other than penaeid shrimp (4%), and invertebrates (1.3%).

Blue shrimp was by far the most important species in terms of weight, representing 45% of the total catch. Some of the most important species were the swimming crab (*Callinectes bellicosus*) 4%, finescale triggerfish (*Balistes polylepis*) with 3.6%, white mullet (*Mugil curema*) 3.4%, Pacific sierra (*Scomberomorus sierra*) 3.4%, Dark spot mojarra (*Eucinostomus entomelas*) 3.4%, Peruvian mojarra (*Diapterus peruvianus*) 3.3%. These were identified as the main bycatch species of the current Suripera commercial shrimp fishery.

Brown shrimp and white shrimp were also present in the catch with a 1.9 and 2.4% of the catch. Other 10 species were grouped as others, and represented ~7.4% of the total catch. The bycatch to shrimp ratio error distribution was assumed lognormal and the corresponding sample ratio geometric mean in units of weight was 1 to 1.2.

Rationale and considerations for species categorization

The MSC standard considers primary species where all the following criteria are met:

- Species in the catch that are not covered under P1 because they are not included in the UoA;
- Species that are within scope of the MSC program defined in FCR 7.4.1.1 (not Amphibians, Reptiles, Birds nor Mammals);
- Species where management tools and measures are in place, intended to achieve stock management objectives reflected in either limit or target reference points.
- In cases where a species would be classified as primary due to the management measures of one jurisdiction but not another that overlaps with the UoA, that species shall still be considered as primary.

Secondary Species in P2 as species in the catch that are within scope of the MSC program but are not covered under P1 because they are not included in the Unit of Assessment and

Are not considered “primary” as defined previously, or

- Species that are out of the program, but where the definition of ETP species is not applicable.

Main and Minor Species

For Primary and Secondary species, species may be considered main based on either resilience/vulnerability and catch volume. Species that are not main are minor. Main and minor species must meet different Performance Indicators (PIs) in P2.

Discussion and conclusions

Concerning the artisanal Pacific shrimp fishery, Amezcua et al. (2006) studied the effect on the fauna in the coastal lagoon of Santa Maria la Reforma, Mexico. They used three fishing gears employed by shrimp fishermen including the suripera net. The authors concluded that the bycatch-shrimp ratio for the suripera was close to 1:1. The catch composition data for three years from the artisanal fleet was provided and used to clarify more clearly the operation of that fleet using the fishing gear.

Scientific name	Common name	% of catch per volume	Category
<i>Litopenaeus stylirostris</i>	Blue shrimp	45	Target
Others (12 species)		7.58	
<i>Callinectes bellicosus</i>	Warrior swimming crab	4	Secondary minor
<i>Balistes polylepis</i>	Finescale triggerfish	3.6	Secondary minor
<i>Mugil curema</i>	White mullet	3.4	Secondary minor
<i>Scomberomorus sierra</i>	Pacific sierra	3.4	Secondary minor
<i>Eucinostomus entomelas</i>	Dark spot mojarra	3.4	Secondary minor
<i>Diapterus peruvianus</i>	Peruvian mojarra	3.3	Secondary minor
<i>Pomadasys panamensis</i>	Grunts	2.7	Secondary minor
<i>Menticirrhus panamensis</i>	Panama kingcroaker	2.6	Secondary minor
<i>L. vanammei</i>	White shrimp	2.4	Secondary minor
<i>Sphoeroides annulatus</i>	Bullseye puffer	2.4	Secondary minor
<i>Etropus crossotus</i>	Fringed flounder	2.8	Secondary minor
<i>Anchoa nasus</i>	Longnose anchovy	2.3	Secondary minor
<i>Menticirrhus elongatus</i>	Pacigin king-craoker	2.1	Secondary minor
<i>Farfantepenaeus californiensis</i>	Yellowleg shrimp	1.9	Secondary minor
<i>Anisotremus interruptus</i>	Burrito grunt	1.8	Secondary minor
<i>Brotula clarkae</i>	Pacific bearded brotula	1.8	Secondary minor
<i>Urotrygon nana</i>	Stingrays	1.2	Secondary minor
<i>Oligoplites altus</i>	Longjaw leatherjack	1.5	Secondary minor
<i>Hexaplex nigritus</i>	Black murex	1.32	Secondary minor

Primary Species

According to the catch data, no primary species were designated; none of the listed P2 species have in place a full stock assessment, a scientifically established TAC, or known limit or target reference points. There are no management tools and measures in place intended to achieve stock management objectives reflected in either limit or target reference points. All bycatch species are classified as secondary species.

Secondary Species

We used the catch volumes for each fishing gear independently to determine secondary main and minor designations. We classified all species as secondary minor because their catch composition was <5%. In the particular case of the ray (*Urotrygon nana*), although they are considered less resilient species, their catch composition was 1.2%, so we classified them as secondary minor.

The information on the shrimp fishery bycatch is broad, but mostly focused in the industrial fishery. Some papers are focus on determining the bycatch composition globally (e.g. Guillet, 2008), while others are aimed to study bycatch locally (Pérez-Mellado and Finley, 1985; Rábago-Quiroz et al., 2011; López-Martínez et al., 2010; Madrid- Vera et al., 2007; Madrid- Vera et al., 2010). There are several sources for bycatch information, however not all of these sources collect this data from the artisanal fleet.

Studies on gear selectivity and efficiency of cast nets (suripera) for artisanal fleets operating in the coastal lagoons and bays of the Gulf of California have been conducted in the past (Grande-Vidal et al. (1996). Suripera nets are mainly used in the bays of Sinaloa. The shrimp captured by suriperas are of smaller size, but are captured live and thus of better quality (Amezcuca et al. 2009). In addition, Flores-Santillan and Aguilar-Ramirez (2000) evaluate the effectiveness of the gear in Baja California Sur, as well as Cervantes-Ureña et al (2005) also evaluate the low impact of the gear in Sinaloa.

A total of thirty two species of bycatch were identified under this report, belonging to various taxonomic groups, such as fish, elasmobranchs, crustaceans, and mollusks. Some of the retained species have commercial value or are kept for family consumption (e.g. sierra (*Scomberomorus sierra*), mojarras (*Eucinostomus entomelas* or *Diapterus peruvianus*), and swimming crab (*Callinectes bellicosus*). With suripera nets, bycatch remained low (close to 1:1.2, which is very low compared to the average of 1:10 in tropical areas and industrial fisheries. The 2012, Balmori and Morales report suggested that suripera for Sinaloa do not capture any bycatch in at least one third of their fishing hauls, and calculated the volume and proportion of retained and discarded species for a number of coastal lagoons. For this report, the proportion was a little higher than the reported in Amezcuca et al (2006) and in most the sets (hauls) bycatch was reported, but in small amounts.

To conclude, and based in the fact that there are no explicit measures in place to manage retained species, but, considering the low risk to retained species placed by suriperas, this gear qualifies for SG80 and the management strategy can be considered the gears themselves. For these reasons and considering all the information presented previously since there are no reference points considered for the associated species, nor any of these species are enlisted in Appendix 1 of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) they are considered as Secondary Species. This gives as a result to score the following PIs as follow:

- PI 2.1.1 +80 instead of red
- PI 2.1.2 +80 instead of yellow
- PI 2.1.3 +80 instead of yellow

References

- Amezcuca, F., Madrid-Vera, J. and H. Aguirre-Villaseñor. 2006. Efecto de la pesca artesanal de camarón sobre la ictiofauna en el sistema lagunar de Santa María la Reforma, suroeste del Golfo de California. *Ciencias Marinas*. 32(IB);92-109.
- Amezcuca, F., Aguirre, H. 2009. Incidental Capture of Juvenile Fish from an Artisanal Fishery in a Coastal Lagoon in the Gulf of California. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 29:245–255
- Amezcuca, F. and Amezcua-Linares. 2014. Seasonal Changes of Fish Assemblages in a Subtropical Lagoon in the SE Gulf of California. *The Scientific World Journal* Volume 2014, Article ID 968902, 15 p. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/968902>
- Balmori-Ramírez and Morales-Azpeitia. 2012. La Fauna Acompañante de la pesca ribereña de Camaron en el Golfo de California (Sonora y Sinaloa). Informe Tecnico: INAPESCA CRIP-Guaymas.
- Balmori-Ramírez, A. 2017. Análisis de capturas incidentales en la pesquería artesanal de camarón en las lagunas costeras de Santa María-La Reforma y Altata Sinaloa, México. Temporada 2016-17
- Castro-Aguirre, J. L. 1978. Catálogo sistemático de los peces marinos que penetran a las aguas continentales de México con aspectos zoogeográficos y ecológicos. Dirección General del Instituto Nacional de Pesca, México. Serie científica 19:XI+298 pp. Cervantes-Ureña, J.F.; J.L. Valdez-Valle; J.L. López-Cota; J.A. Trigueros-Salmerón; O.J. Leyva-Feliciano y Y. Medrano-Medina. 2005. Selectividad del arte de pesca suripera en la pesquería artesanal del camarón en el estado de Sinaloa. Simposio sobre Ciencias Pesqueras en México. La Paz, B.C.S., México.
- Cervantes-Ureña, J.F.; J.L. Valdez-Valle; J.L. López-Cota; J.A. Trigueros-Salmerón; O.J. Leyva-Feliciano y Y. Medrano Medina. 2005. Selectividad del arte de pesca suripera en la pesquería artesanal del camarón en el estado de Sinaloa. Simposio sobre Ciencias Pesqueras en México. La Paz, B.C.S., México.
- Flores-Santillán, A.A. y D. Aguilar-Ramirez. 2000. Evaluación de la Eficiencia y Selectividad de la Red “Suripera”, para la Captura de Camarón Azul, en el Sistema Lagunar Bahía Magdalena – Bahía Almejas, B.C.S. Informe de investigación. Doc. Int. INP. 16 p.

- Guillet, R. 2008. Global study of shrimp fisheries. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 475.
- Grande-Vidal, J. M., A. Arias y D. Chávez Herrera. 1996. Selectividad de las redes Suriperas utilizadas para la captura de camarón azul (*Penaeus stylirostris*) en los Sistemas lagunares – Estuarinos de la Región centro del Estado de Sinaloa. Informe de investigación. Doc. Int. INP. 30 p
- INAPESCA. 2016. Fauna asociada a la captura de camarón en la ribera sur del frente costero de la bahía Santa María, Sinaloa, en el período de veda 2016. Informe Técnico. Instituto Nacional de Pesca, Centro Regional de Investigación Pesquera de Mazatlán.
- INAPESCA/WWF. 2009. Evaluación de las atarrayas “Suriperas” como opción para la captura comercial de camarón en el Alto Golfo de California. Informe Técnico Final de las Campañas 2007-2008 y 2008- 2009. 34 p. Disponible en: <http://www.wwf.org.mx>.
- López-Martínez J, Herrera-Valdivia E, Rodríguez-Romero J y Hernández-Vázquez S, 2010. Peces de la fauna de acompañamiento en la pesca industrial de camarón en el Golfo de California, México. Rev. Biol. Trop. Vol. 58(3): 925- 942.
- Lopez-Martinez, J., Hernández-Vázquez, S, Rábago-Quiroz, C., Herrera-Valdivia, E. and R. Morales- Azpeitia. 2007. Efectos ecológicos de la pesca de arrastre de camarón en el Golfo de California. Estado del arte del desarrollo tecnológico de las artes de pesca. In Situación del Sector Pesquero en México. Edited by J. B. Santinelli and J. Fajardo-Arias. Publisher: CEDRSSA, Editors: Centro de Estudios para el Desarrollo Rural Sustentable y la Soberanía Alimentaria. Camara de Diputados LX Legislatura/Congreso de la Unión,
- López-Martínez, J., S. Hernández-Vázquez, R. Morales-Azpeitia, M. O. Nevárez-Martínez C. Cervantes- Valle y J. Padilla-Serrato. 2012. Variación de la relación camarón:fauna de acompañamiento en la pesquería de camarón industrial del Golfo de California. En: López-Martínez J. y E. Morales- Bojórquez (Eds.). Efectos de la pesca de arrastre en el Golfo de California. Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste, S.C. y Fundación Produce Sonora, México, pp. 27-47.
- Madrid-Vera J, Amezcua-Martínez F. y Morales-Bojórquez E, 2007. An assessment approach to estimate biomass of fish communities from bycatch data in a tropical shrimp-trawl fishery. Fisheries Research 83: 81-89.
- Madrid- Vera J, Visauta-Girbau E y Aguirre-Villaseñor H, 2010. Composition of trawl catch fauna off the mouth of the Rio Baluarte, southeastern Gulf of California. Marine Ecology Progress Series. 403: 145-153.

- Pérez-Mellado J. and Findley LT, 1985. Evaluación de la ictiofauna acompañante del camarón capturado en las costas de Sonora y norte de Sinaloa, México. In: Yáñez-Arancibia, A. (Ed.) Recursos pesqueros potenciales de México: La pesca acompañante del camarón. Programa Universitario de Alimentos, Inst. Cienc. Del Mar y Limnol., Inst. Nal. De Pesca. UNAM, D.F. México Cap. 5:201-254
- Rábago-Quiroz CH, López-Martínez L, Valdez-Holguín E y Nevárez-Martínez MN, 2011. Distribución latitudinal y batimétrica de las especies más abundantes y frecuentes en la fauna acompañante del camarón del Golfo de California, México. *Biología Tropical*.