

Principle	Component	Performance Indicator	Scoring Year 0	Rationale
1	Outcome	1.1.1 Stock status	60-79	Stock assessment reports are generally not publicly available. No reference points are known to be defined for the stock. Ongoing uncertainty regarding the stock structure combined with high annual / environmental variability hampers efforts to forecast and manage the stock(s) across national boundaries and in the high seas.
		1.1.2 Stock rebuilding	N/A	Nowadays the lack of reliable information about the stock don't allows to define if a rebuilding plan will be necessary. So, the effort was focused in develop and implement an strong and more defined stock assessment methodology.
	Management	1.2.1 Harvest Strategy	<60	There is not a robust and precautionary harvest strategy in place.
		1.2.2 Harvest control rules and tools	<60	There are not well defined and effective harvest control rules (HCRs) in place.
		1.2.3 Information and monitoring	60-79	The acoustic survey performed is mostly oriented to anchovy and as a result provide an incomplete and fragmented information about the squid stock.
		1.2.4 Assessment of stock status	60-79	No management exists at a whole-stock level, and the high-seas fleets could to increase their catch levels at any time, potentially jeopardizing stock health.
	2	Primary species	2.1.1 Outcome	<60
2.1.2 Management			<60	The catch composition is unknown. Therefore, there is not a strategy in place designed to maintain or to not hinder rebuilding of possible primary species.
2.1.3 Information			<60	There is not established a recording methodology to identify the species included in captures.

	Secondary species	2.2.1 Outcome	<60	Discard rates and bycatch information for the fishery are not recorded or quantified because jigging is considering highly selective for squid
		2.2.2 Management	<60	The catch composition is unknown. Therefore, there is not a strategy in place designed to maintain or to not hinder rebuilding of possible secondary species.
		2.2.3 Information	<60	There is not established a recording methodology to identify the species included in captures.
	ETP species	2.3.1 Outcome	<60	Direct or Indirect effects on ETP species are unknown.
		2.3.2 Management	<60	Associated with the lack of information are not designed specific strategic or measures related with ETP species interactions and Jumbo squid fishery
		2.3.3 Information	<60	There is not established a recording methodology to identify the ETP species interactions with the jumbo squid fishery
	Habitats	2.4.1 Outcome	N/A	Not Priority. The information related with the Jumbo squid fishery is in almost all PI scarce and fragmented. Therefore, some PI were considering as priority in order to orient and define realistic and measurable improvements for the fishery. Nevertheless, those PI identified as N/A in the FIP first stage will be addressed in the future when the FIP change their status to Comprehensive FIP
		2.4.2 Management	N/A	
		2.4.3 Information	N/A	
	Ecosystem	2.5.1 Outcome	N/A	
2.5.2 Management		N/A		
2.5.3 Information		N/A		
3	Governance and Policy	3.1.1 Legal and customary framework	<60	Is necessary address the current informal status of the fleet.

	3.1.2 Consultation, roles and responsibilities	N/A	Some roles and responsibilities are defined, but the FIP will be used as a tool to revise and reinforce them. Thus, this PI should be carried out when the status of the fleet and other aspects related with the development of the legal and customary framework for the fishery will be addressed
	3.1.3 Long term objectives	<60	The management policy has not clear long-term objectives to guide decision-making process. The priority PIs addressed in the FIP first stage would contribute with their future development
Fishery specific management system	3.2.1 Fishery specific objectives	<60	There is not a fishery-specific management system with clear and specific objectives. As stated above is expected that the priority PIs selected contribute with the management development
	3.2.2 Decision making processes	<60	As stated above the development of a scheme for the management system will be addressed along the FIP process but depends of the priority PIs defined.
	3.2.3 Compliance and enforcement	<60	The lack of integrate management don't allows evaluate the compliance and enforcement in this stage
	3.2.4 Management performance evaluation	N/A	The management scheme is part of the FIP and their performance not evaluation can be giving today